

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,224	02/09/2004	Taiji Noda	740756-1048	6741
22204 75	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			SARKAR, ASOK K	
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,224	NODA, TAIJI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Asok K. Sarkar	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	a6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-31 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or by objected or by objected or by objected or abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/865,546</u> . ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/16/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/773,224 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species I claims 1 – 15 in the reply filed on September 23, 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 16 – 31 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species II – IV claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was treated as being made without traverse in the reply filed on September 23, 2004.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Method of Fabricating a MIS transistor With Diffusion Layer Formed By Large Mass Number Dopants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2829

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi, US 5,134,452 in view of Burr, US 6,093,951.

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 11, Yamaguchi teaches a method for fabricating a semiconductor device having a MIS transistor comprising the steps of:

- preparing an epitaxial semiconductor substrate (as shown in Fig. 10B) with a multi-layer structure having an epitaxial region formed by epitaxial growing silicon 22 on a silicon substrate 1 in column 8, lines 5 22;
- forming a gate electrode 5 above said epitaxial region with a gate insulating film
 4 sandwiched therebetween with reference to Fig. 8; and
- forming a diffusion layer 3 of said MIS transistor in said epitaxial region, by
 using a dopant ion, wherein said diffusion layer is formed shallower than said
 epitaxial region with reference to Fig. 9.

Yamaguchi fails to teach diffusion layer formed by using a dopant ion having a relatively large mass number.

Burr teaches forming a FET device in which diffusion layers are formed by using dopant ion In or Sb of relatively large mass numbers and having relatively small

Application/Control Number: 10/773,224

Art Unit: 2829

diffusion coefficient thereby forming pockets having steep concentration profile for the benefit of forming low threshold devices having a retrograde pocket region in column 12, lines 27 – 59.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yamaguchi and form the diffusion layers by using a dopant ion having a relatively large mass number for the benefit of forming low threshold devices having a retrograde pocket region as taught by Burr in column 12, lines 27 – 59.

Regarding claim 7, Burr teaches doping with In at levels of 10^{13} cm⁻² and over 5 x 10^{13} cm⁻² level with n-type ions such as As and Sb and fails to expressly teach doping with In at dose over 5 X 10^{13} cm⁻².

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the diffusion layer with In instead of Sb since heavy ions such as In and Sb have smaller diffusion coefficient.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Yamaguchi in view of Burr teaches forming the diffusion layer as a channel diffusion layer formed below the gate electrode in the epitaxial region.

7. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi, US 5,134,452 in view of Burr, US 6,093,951 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Koyama, US 5,177,569.

Burr teaches implanting In ion as was discussed previously in rejecting claims 1 and 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,224

Art Unit: 2829

Burr fails to teach epitaxial region having <110> - oriented zone axis.

Koyama teaches the advantages of dopant implantations in single crystal (110) plane orientation in between column 6, line 63 and column 7, line 24.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the diffusion layer in Burr's device with In and replace the substrate having <110> - oriented zone axis so that the epitaxial layer is formed with <110> - oriented zone axis since the transistor characteristics will be further enhanced as taught by Koyama in addition to being enhanced by using heavy weight dopant In.

8. Claims 4 – 6, 9, 10, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi, US 5,134,452 in view of Burr, US 6,093,951 as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Arai, US 5,972,783.

Regarding claims 4, 9, 14 and 15 Yamaguchi in view of Burr fails to teach the step of the forming the diffusion layer as pocket diffusion layer and form the said pocket diffusion layers on both sides of the gate by implanting a first dopant of a first conductivity type corresponding to said dopant ion into said epitaxial region with the gate electrode used as a mask; and forming an extension diffusion layer by implanting a second dopant of a second conductivity type into said epitaxial region to have shallower junction than said pocket diffusion layer with said gate electrode used as a mask.

Arai teaches a method of fabricating a semiconductor device where he forms the pocket diffusion layer on both sides of the gate using it as a mask and the extension diffusion layer using the gate as a mask for the benefit of providing an improved punchthrough breakdown voltage in column 21, lines1 – 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yamaguchi in view of Burr to provide forming the pocket diffusion layer and the extension diffusion layer for the benefit of providing an improved punchthrough breakdown voltage as taught by Arai in column 21, lines1 – 3.

Regarding claim 5, Burr teaches forming the forming a channel diffusion layer by implanting a third dopant of the first conductivity type into said epitaxial region before forming the gate electrode.

Regarding claims 6 and 10, Burr teaches second dopant as Sb ion as was described earlier in rejecting claim 1.

9. Claims 1, 3 – 7 and 9 – 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulucca, US 6,559,804 in view of Burr, US 6,093,951 and Yamaquchi, US 5,134,452.

Regarding claims 1, 3 and 11 Bulucca teaches a method for fabricating a semiconductor device having a transistor comprising the steps of:

- preparing an epitaxial semiconductor substrate with a multi-layer structure having an epitaxial region 50p formed by epitaxial growing silicon on a silicon substrate
 200 (see Fig. 16 a) in column 34, lines 26 – 40;
- forming a gate electrode 68 above said epitaxial region with a gate insulating film
 66 sandwiched therebetween (see Fig. 9a and 13a); and
- forming a diffusion layer 60M, 60E and 100 of said transistor in said epitaxial
 region (see Fig. 9a and 13a), by using a dopant ion having a relatively large

Application/Control Number: 10/773,224

Art Unit: 2829

mass number (column 4, lines 46 – 52) wherein said diffusion layer is formed shallower than said epitaxial region (see Fig. 9a and 13a).

Bulucca fails to disclose forming all diffusion regions using dopant ion having a relatively large mass number and the transistor device is a MIS transistor.

Burr teaches forming a FET device in which diffusion layers are formed by using dopant ion In or Sb of relatively large mass numbers and having relatively small diffusion coefficient thereby forming pockets having steep concentration profile for the benefit of forming low threshold devices having a retrograde pocket region in column 12, lines 27 – 59.

Yamaguchi teaches that MOSFET and MISFET are similar devices with an oxide film as an insulator (see column 1, lines 12 – 20). Bulucca teaches using oxide as a gate insulator with-respect to Fig. 17 and therefore, Bulucca's device is also a MISFET device.

Regardinf claims 4 - 6, 9 and 10, Bulucca in view of Burr teaches limitations of these claims with respect to Figs 9A and 13A.

Regarding claim 7, Burr teaches doping with In at levels of 10^{13} cm⁻² and over 5 \times 10^{13} cm⁻² level with n-type ions such as As and Sb and fails to expressly teach doping with In at dose over 5 X 10^{13} cm⁻².

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the diffusion layer with In instead of Sb since heavy ions such as In and Sb have smaller diffusion coefficient.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Bulucca teaches forming the diffusion layer as a channel diffusion layer formed below the gate electrode in the epitaxial region with respect to Figs 9A and 13A.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Bulucca teaches forming pocket diffusion layer 60E on both sides of the gate electrode 68 with respect to Figs 9A and 13A.

10. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bulucca, US 6,559,804 in view of Burr, US 6,093,951 and Yamaguchi, US 5,134,452 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Koyama, US 5,177,569.

Bulucca_in view_of_Burr and_Yamaguchi.fails to_teach epitaxial region_having_ - <110> - oriented zone axis.

Koyama teaches the advantages of dopant implantations in single crystal (110) plane orientation in between column 6, line 63 and column 7, line 24.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the diffusion layer in Burr's device with In and replace the substrate having <110> - oriented zone axis so that the epitaxial layer is formed with <110> - oriented zone axis since the transistor characteristics will be further enhanced as taught by Koyama in addition to being enhanced by using heavy weight dopant In.

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asok K. Sarkar whose telephone number is 571 272 1970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8 AM- 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached on 571 272 1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For-more-information-about-the-PAIR-system, see-http://pair-direct.uspto-gov.-Should-you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

13.

ISTU UMWAR SARHAY Asok K. Sarkar October 26, 2004

Patent Examiner